

DGT-HC047/2/2025-O/o DIR (TC) [E- 74752]

भारत सरकार/Government of India

कौशल विकास और उद्यमशीलता मंत्रालय/Ministry of Skill Development and Entrepreneurship,
प्रशिक्षण महानिदेशालय/Directorate General of training (DGT)

7th Floor, Kaushal Bhawan, New Moti Bagh
New Delhi, Pin code-110023

Date: 19th May 2026

To,

The Director (Dealing with Craftsmen Training Scheme),
Directorate of Technical Education, Rajasthan, W-6, Residency
Jodhpur-342011

Subject: Speaking order in compliance with the Hon'ble Court judgement dated 23.01.2026 passed in
"S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 16166/2025 Shailendra Pvt. I.T.I and Anr Vs State of Rajasthan &Anr"
at Rajasthan High Court-Jaipur bench-reg.

Sir/Madam,

With reference to the above-captioned writ petition filed by the petitioner institute, namely Shailendra Pvt. ITI (PR08001022), the petitioner, inter alia, sought directions against the respondents to permit access to the NCVT MIS Portal for undertaking online admissions in the affiliated trades of the institute for the Academic Session 2025-26/27, to enable course mapping, and to restrain the respondents from interfering with the admission process of the institute and its students.

2. During the pendency of the writ petition, the matter was considered in the 25th meeting of the Standing Committee on Accreditation and Affiliation (SCAA) held on 13.11.2025 and 14.11.2025. In compliance with the principles of natural justice, all concerned ITIs, including the petitioner institute, were afforded an opportunity of hearing/representation against the proposed action of de-affiliation. The petitioner institute was listed at Serial No. 62 in Annexure-8 under Agenda Item No. 2.6 pertaining to ITIs not recommended by the SSDEC for continuation of affiliation due to refusal of inspection.

3. The Hon'ble Court, vide order dated 23.01.2026, disposed of the present matter along with 36 connected cases and, inter alia, permitted the petitioner institutes to submit fresh detailed replies along with supporting documents in response to the proposed action of de-affiliation. The operative para of the order is extracted as hereunder-

"5. The factum of such meeting being held and the petitioners being called upon by the DGT to submit their response is not disputed by the learned counsel for the petitioners and as per the learned counsel for the petitioners, even few of the ITIs have already submitted their responses to the DGT.

6. Taking note of the above and as jointly prayed by learned counsel for all the parties, these petitions are disposed of with the following directions:

19/5/26

Rong

i) Petitioner ITIs will submit a fresh detailed reply/response along with all the relevant supporting documents to the DGT within two weeks from the date of receipt of this order on the official e-mail ID as mentioned in the minutes of meeting held on 13.11.2025 and 14.11.2025, published on 23.12.2025;

ii) The DGT on receipt of the same, would duly consider the said reply/response along with the annexed documents, if any, very much in accordance with law and without being influenced by any earlier orders or reports;

iii) The DGT along with the State authorities would also conduct a joint inspection of each of the petitioner ITIs within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of respective replies by serving an advance intimation to the petitioner ITIs;

iv) Only upon holding of the said joint inspection and considering the reply along with relevant documents submitted by petitioner ITIs, the DGT will arrive at any decision qua the de affiliation proceedings so initiated by it against the petitioner ITIs;

v) The said decision would be taken by the DGT within three weeks of the holding of the joint inspection with respect of the particular petitioner ITI.

Needless to say, that the DGT will pass a speaking and well-reasoned order strictly in accordance with law and the same would be duly served upon each of the petitioner ITIs within one week from the date of passing of the same.

7. With the above directions, all the writ petitions are dispose of.

8. Pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of."

3. Pursuant to the directions of the Hon'ble High Court dated 23.01.2026 and in furtherance of the principles of natural justice, another opportunity was granted to the petitioner institute vide email dated 05.02.2026 to show cause as to why action for de-affiliation should not be initiated on the basis of the observations made by the Inspection Team and the recommendations of the SSDEC recorded in the minutes of the 25th SCAA meeting.

4. The petitioner institute submitted its reply dated 13.02.2026, following which the matter was placed before the 27th SCAA meeting held on 06.03.2026. In the said meeting, it was decided that the claims made by the petitioner institute regarding the availability of machinery and infrastructure would be verified through a fresh joint inspection by the Inspection Team.

5. Subsequently, a fresh joint inspection of the petitioner institute was conducted on 15.04.2026 by representatives of the RDSDE and the State Directorate, during which several serious deficiencies were observed at the institute premises. As per the remarks of the inspecting members and observation from DGT, in adherence to the Principle of natural justice, a final opportunity was granted to the institute vide Show Cause Notice dated 24.04.2026 issued through email, directing the institute to explain as to why it should not be de-affiliated on the SIDH Portal and requiring submission of a comprehensive, point-wise reply duly supported with documentary evidence including proof of operational machinery/equipment, geo-tagged photographs of infrastructure and location, and other compliance documents within the stipulated period.

6. Thereafter, the petitioner ITI submitted its reply vide email dated 08.05.2026 in response to the Show-Cause Notice dated 24.04.2026 concerning the aforementioned deficiencies. The brief

Daily
19/5/26

B.Pong

deficiencies observed during the inspection dated 15.04.2026 and the additional observations made by the DGT, along with the reply submitted by the ITI and the scrutiny undertaken by the DGT, are tabulated below:

Sl. no	Brief deficiencies mentioned in SCN dated 24.04.2026	Reply submitted by the Petitioner ITI dated 08.05.2026	Remarks from DGT
1	A single hall measuring 38 × 24 meters had been designated as a common workshop for all trades without any partitions or proper demarcation, thereby creating ambiguity regarding the workshop area allotted to each trade and rendering effective assessment difficult.	The hall measurement is 39x24 meter. The hall has been divided with iron cage for all the trades. Photos attached	<p>The petitioner institute is affiliated on the SIDH Portal in the following trades:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Electrician – 9 units (3+3+3) • Electronics Mechanic – 2 units (1+1) • Fitter – 3 units (1+1+1) • Mechanic Diesel – 2 units (1+1) • Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Technician – 2 units (1+1)
2	Classroom and workshop areas for all trades were not separately defined and were functioning within the same hall without physical segregation, thereby hindering independent evaluation;	All the classrooms and workshops path have been divided separately under one hall and attached building plan and the geo tagging photos.	<p>Accordingly, the petitioner institute is operating a total of 18 units with an approximate seating capacity of 360 trainees, calculated at 20 trainees per unit.</p> <p>The petitioner institute has admitted that the trade workshops are being operated jointly within a common hall/under a common roof. Considering the nature of the aforesaid trades, particularly Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Technician and Mechanic Diesel, which involve the installation and operation of heavy machinery and equipment, it is neither practical nor safe to conduct practical training for all trades within a single hall or under one common roof.</p>
3	No designated pathway for trainees was provided either in the approved layout plan or at site, thereby affecting safe and systematic movement within the institute premises;	The pathway for trainees has been segregated properly.	<p>The existing arrangement of classrooms and workshops, wherein the trades are segregated merely through net partitions, raises serious concerns regarding safety standards, optimal utilization of space, and the effective conduct of practical training sessions.</p> <p>Therefore, the contention that the pathways for the trainees are provided for training is hereby rejected for safety concerns of the trainees.</p>

Daikh
19/5/26

Strong

4	The workshop condition of the Fitter trade was found unsatisfactory;	The Geo tag photos of fitter work shop is attached.	As per the photographs submitted for the Fitter trade workshop, it appears that the lab has not been operational for a considerable period of time. The condition of the workshop and rusted equipment does not reflect regular training activities.
5	<p>Shortage of the major machineries as per the curriculum of the CTS trade:-</p> <p>In Electrician trade - Major machinery at below Sr. No. are not available. 128,133,134,135,136,139, 144,145,148,147,149,161, 160,165,166 Machines are not properly grounded.</p> <p>In Fitter- Major machinery at sr. no. are not available. 175 (3 jaw chuck lathe NA) 183,180,184,185,186,197, 197,198,199,202,203.</p> <p>In Mechanic Deisel- Major machinery at sr. no. are currently unavailable. 164 to 168, 171, 174 to 179, 204, 205,</p> <p>In Ref. & AC Technician - Major machinery in below serial numbers are currently unavailable. 89 to 101, 104,105,114 to 133, 135 to 137, 140 to 142, 145 to 154, 160,163 to 171,174,188to193.</p> <p>Electronics Mechanic: Items Sr. No 46,49,52-54,56-63,66-71,73-79,81-86 are not available.</p> <p>The machinery available in the institute was found to be only partially functional</p>	<p>All major machineries of the trades are available in the ITI.</p> <p>Few of them are in shortage which will be purchased by the ITI. All trade wise machinery Geo tagged photos are attached.</p> <p>It was disputed and the allegations were made against the member regarding marking of the deficiencies.</p>	<p>The petitioner ITI was specifically informed regarding the shortages of tools, equipment, and machinery, along with the corresponding serial numbers prescribed under the syllabus. However, instead of furnishing proper list of tools and equipment's addressing the identified deficiencies, the petitioner institute has merely dumped a bulk set of photographs pertaining to the serial numbers mentioned against each trade without providing any labels, descriptions, or trade-wise identification of the machinery/equipment depicted therein.</p> <p>Upon analysis of the photographs submitted by the petitioner institute, it has been observed that most of the equipment is in a rusted condition, with instances of open and loose wiring. Further, several electrical wires connected to the machines appear damaged and deteriorated in transformers and panel, which may pose serious safety hazards during training and adversely affect the quality and effectiveness of practical instruction.</p> <p>The petitioner has remained silent regarding the operational status of the machinery and the proper installation thereof. The allegation made against the member is without any basis and to divert the main issue.</p> <p>Therefore, it is concluded that there is a shortage of the requisite machinery and equipment in the institute, and that the available machinery does not appear to have been used for a considerable period of time. Further, the condition of the machinery and electrical installations reflects serious non-compliance with essential electrical and mechanical safety</p>

Amulya
19/5/26

Prang

			norms, thereby posing potential hazards within the training environment.
6	The computers available in the laboratory, as reflected in the photographic evidence annexed with the inspection report, appeared outdated and insufficient in number as per prescribed norms;	The new and old computers available in the IT lab as per prescribed norms.	As reflected in the photographs submitted of the computer laboratory, the overall condition of the IT lab appears to be unsatisfactory. It has also been observed that certain laptops have been placed in the laboratory, which is not in conformity with the prescribed norms. As per the applicable norms, approximately 36 computers are required for an institute having a seating capacity of 360 trainees. However, the petitioner institute has merely presented photographs of around 10 outdated computers, captured from different angles. Therefore, it is evident that the IT laboratory is not in compliance with the prescribed norms and the deficiencies pointed out in this regard have not been rectified.
7	Fire Safety Certificate was not available;	The ITI has stated that the fire safety certificate has been made and attached with the reply.	The ITI has stated that the Fire Safety Certificate has been attached along with the photographs; however, no such photograph or supporting document related to the Fire Safety Certificate was found attached with the email submitted by the ITI.
8	The building plan submitted by the institute was neither signed nor approved by the competent authority.	Building plan is signed and attached.	The building layout plan submitted by the ITI appears to bear the signature of an engineer; however, neither the name of the competent authority are mentioned therein, nor has any covering letter, authorization letter, or supporting document from the concerned competent department/authority responsible for issuing or approving such plan been submitted along with the same.

Conclusion:

7. In view of the observations made above, it is evident that the petitioner institute poses serious safety concerns for a large number of trainees by operating workshops for multiple trades under a single roof/common hall. In trades such as Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Technician, Fitter, and Mechanic Diesel, heavy machinery and equipment are required to be installed and operated, which cannot be safely or effectively conducted in a common workshop arrangement.

8. Further, serious deficiencies have been observed with respect to electrical safety measures relating to the machinery and equipment. In addition, adequate fire safety provisions have not been

David
19/5/26

DRong

made available at the institute premises, thereby defeating the very objective and standards of Craftsmen Training Scheme (CTS) training.

9. It is pertinent to mention that the safety and welfare of trainees is of paramount concern to the DGT, and the overall condition and functioning of the petitioner institute, as reflected from the records and inspection findings, pose a serious risk to the trainees who may receive training therein.

10. The petitioner institute was granted sufficient opportunities to rectify the deficiencies and present its case through Show-Cause Notices dated 05.02.2026 and 24.04.2026, However, despite such opportunities, the institute has failed to rectify the deficiencies identified during inspection. Consequently, the trainees seeking admission in the institute would ultimately be the sufferers due to the inadequate infrastructure, shortage of machinery/equipment, and unsafe training environment prevailing in the institute.

11. **Therefore**, the reply submitted by the petitioner institute vide email dated 08.05.2026 is hereby **"rejected"**. Accordingly, the petitioner institute is hereby **"de-affiliated"** for all trades/units on the SIDH Portal. No admissions of trainees shall be permitted in the institute from the Academic Session 2026-27 onwards.

12. However, the petitioner institute shall be at liberty to submit a fresh application for affiliation in accordance with the provisions of the New Affiliation Norms, 2025, subject to fulfilment of the prescribed norms and requirements.

13. This issues with approval of the competent authority.

भवदीय ,

सतीश

(सतीश कुमार)

संयुक्त निदेशक टी . सी अनुभाग

Copy for information to: -

1. Sr. PPS to DG(T), DGT Hqrs., New Delhi.
2. PPS to DDG(Affiliation), DGT Hqrs., New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, RDSDE Rajasthan, NSTI(W) Campus, Opposite KV No-3, Sansthan Path, Jhallana Doongri, Jaipur-302017.
4. The petitioner institute Sailendra Private ITI, Surgreev Colony Jain Maindir Sewar Road, District: Bharatpur, Rajasthan – 321001 through registered email.
5. The officer dealing with SIDH Portal with a request to upload in website.

कनिका कल्हन
19/5/26
(कनिका कल्हन)

प्रशिक्षण अधिकारी, टी . सी अनुभाग