

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

Subject: Compliance with the Judgment dated 16.04.2026 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 5035/2026, Ram Sharan Roy Private ITI v. Directorate General of Training & Anr. – Regarding.

The petitioner institute i.e. Ram Sharan Roy Private ITI having Code No. **TMP10224PR1582717351** had filed the captioned writ petition W.P.(C) No. 5035/2026 seeking the following relief:

"(a) quash the Office Memorandum dt. 20.03.2026 issued by the Respondent No.1, whereby it has rejected the Petitioner's Application, in complete contravention of the principles of natural justice, as also, contrary to the directions made by this Hon'ble Court vide Order dated 06.02.2026; and

(b) direct the Respondents to reconsider the Application of the Petitioner and decide the same on merits, for grant of Affiliation to the Petitioner Institution, from the ensuing academic session for the applied trades; and/or...."

2. That in the present case, petitioner institute is a newly set up institute and seeking affiliation for conducting Trades in Electrician-12 Units (6+6), Fitter– 4 Units (2+2), Surveyor- 2 Units (1+1) and Fire Technology & Industrial Safety Management (FTISM) – 2 Units (1+1) from academic session 2026-27. The case of the petitioner ITI was placed before the 10th Standing Committee on Accreditation and Affiliation (SCAA) meeting held during 16–23 June 2023, wherein the SCAA identified certain deficiencies, namely: shortage of major equipment, i.e., Fire Tender & Rescue Van, and shortage of a CITS-trained instructor in the trade Fire Technology & Industrial Safety Management. The petitioner was granted an opportunity to rectify the deficiencies within 07 days from the date of issuance of the minutes of the meeting on 21.07.2023. The petitioner has claimed to have rectified the deficiencies vide its email dated 30.07.2025 to DGT, and thereafter approached the Hon'ble Court by way of W.P.(C) No. 1699/2026 with a prayer to decide its application.

3. That the Hon'ble Court, vide order dated 06.02.2026, disposed of W.P.(C) No. 1699/2026 without entering into the merits of the case and, inter alia, directed the Respondent–DGT to consider and decide the petitioner's application for affiliation for the ensuing Academic Session 2026–27 in accordance with law and the prescribed procedure. In compliance with the said order, a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 19.02.2026 was issued to the petitioner, to which the petitioner submitted its reply on 05.03.2026. Upon due consideration of the reply, the petitioner's application for affiliation was rejected vide the impugned Office Memorandum dated 20.03.2026 on the grounds that the major deficiencies remained unrectified and that the petitioner had attempted to mislead the Respondent–DGT by submitting manipulated photographs of a mini fire tender and a rescue van.

4. That the captioned matter 5035/2026 was listed for first hearing on dated 16.04.2026, whereby, the Petitioner had stated that they are ready and willing to remove all the deficiencies and the respondents may conduct a fresh inspection in accordance with applicable and extant regulations and norms, and in pursuant thereto, the Hon'ble High Court had passed the following order:

" ...8. For the said reasons, it is directed that the inspection of the petitioner institute will be again conducted within 4 weeks from today and in case any deficiency is noted the petitioner will be called upon to remove those deficiencies within 2 weeks thereafter and subsequently, a speaking order shall be passed processing the application of the petitioner in accordance with applicable affiliation norms."

5. That a joint inspection of the institute was conducted on 05.06.2026, during which several infrastructural and machinery-related deficiencies around 35 points were observed. A show cause notice was served to the petitioner on 19.06.2026 and shared the copy of the inspection report, annexed photographs and directed to furnish its point-wise, comprehensive, and duly supported explanation addressing each deficiency. The petitioner has submitted its explanation on 04.07.2026 and annexed the photographs and further sought a re-verification. The reply of the petitioner was scrutinised with available records and detailed remarks is placed at *Annexure-1*

6. **Therefore**, in view of the above observations made at *Annexure-1*, the petitioner's application for affiliation is hereby again **"Rejected"**. However, the petitioner is at liberty to submit a fresh application in accordance with the newly notified Affiliation Norms for ITIs, 2025.

7. This issue with approval of the competent authority in compliance with the Hon'ble Court judgement dated 16.04.2026 passed in W.P.(C) No. 5035/2026 Titled: Ram Sharan Roy Private ITI v. Directorate General of Training & Anr at Delhi High Court.

(Ketan Patel)
Director (TC)

To

1. The Director, Directorate of Employment & Training, Niyojan Bhawan, Bihar 800001 through email.
2. The Principal/Office in-charge of petitioner institute through its registered email.

Copy for information to: -

1. Sr. PPS to DG(T), DGT Hqrs, New Delhi.
2. PPS to DDG(Affiliation), DGT Hqrs, New Delhi.
3. The Regional Director, RDSDE Bihar.
4. The officer dealing with SIDH Portal with a request to upload in website.

(Rajesh Kumar)
Deputy Director (TC)

Sr No	Inspection Team Brief Remarks	Petitioner Reply 04 07.2026	DGT Remarks
1.	1. <i>Building Structure of PVT ITI at the time of inspection found different from Blue print Map /Naksha provided by Pvt ITI Principal and building was in under construction. and not matched with Building blue print/Naksha provided by Ram Sharan Roy PVT ITI principal at the time of inspection.</i> 2. <i>Building Structure and dimensions of Workshops, DH, IT Lab, classrooms and other not matched with Building blue print/Naksha provided by Ram Sharan Roy PVT ITI principal at the time of inspection.</i> 3. <i>Surveyor and Fire Technology & Industrial Safety Management trade workshops were not available in Provided/Shown blue print Map/Naksha of Pvt ITI building structure at the time of Inspection</i> 4. <i>The registration number of Architect/engineer stamp on blue print/Naksa not available on the website of Council of Architecture, Govt. of India provided /Shown by principal of pvt ITI.</i> 5. <i>Observed that One class room partitioned with aluminum section (plaster wall not available) at the time of inspection.</i> 6. <i>Portion of the Electrician workshop with cemented foundation installed equipment such as a bulb load bank was found present in the Fitter workshop.</i>	Remarks mentioned against each point: Photo attached. The petitioner has failed to furnish any detailed, point-wise explanation to the observations recorded by the inspection team.	1. Upon examining the photographs annexed with the inspection report, the petitioner's reply dated 04.07.2026, and its earlier reply to the show cause notice dated 05.03.2026, it is evident that the petitioner has depicted different buildings as the institute premises. The photographs forming part of the inspection report and the reply dated 05.03.2026 clearly show that construction was ongoing on the upper portion of the building presented during the inspection. 2. In contrast, the photographs annexed with the reply dated 04.07.2026 have been taken from selective angles showing only a limited portion of the structure. Further, a comparison of the entrance gate and other visible structural features indicates that the building shown in the reply dated 04.07.2026 is different from the building presented before the inspection team. 3. The petitioner has failed to furnish any documentary evidence or supporting material regarding the authority letter or the registration of the architect on the relevant website. Further, the workshop earmarked for the Fire Technology & Industrial Safety Management (FTISM) trade is not reflected in the layout plan submitted by the petitioner. From the aforesaid observations, it is evident that the petitioner has once again attempted to mislead the Respondent–DGT by presenting different buildings at different instances of the reply. The petitioner has failed to submit the actual layout plan of the institute building

			and has also failed to rebut the observations of the inspection team, which categorically recorded that the existing building structure of the Private ITI is completely different from the approved blueprint/layout plan (Naksha).
2.	7. <i>Shortage of Tools & Equipment as per DGT Norms. Observed the Appliances (Inverter with battery, washing machine Semi-Automatic, Motor Pump set, Geyser (storage type), Electric kettle), Solar panel with battery and EV Charger (3 Phase & 1 phase input) for Electrician Workshop were not available as per DGT norms at the time of inspection.</i> 8. <i>Some Machinery were not installed properly with foundation. One Lathe machine in fitter trade was not working. One CRO was found in Broken CRO and not working condition. Some machines in Electrician workshop were not working at the time of inspection.</i> 9. Engraving were not available on all Machineries. 10. <i>Lab mentioned by Name as ICT Lab cum Language Lab. Lab having language lab systems and other Communication Box/other equipment connected with computers. Some Computers were not working. Computer System and Infra were not available as per Norms of DGT.</i> 11. <i>Observed that All electrician workshops and fitter workshop were not separated properly as per DGT norms.</i>	Remarks mentioned against each point: Photo/bill attached. One video is also attached.	The petitioner has failed to furnish any detailed, point-wise explanation to the observations recorded by the inspection team. Only some photos annexed that too without marking against which deficiencies. Although the petitioner has also submitted a video in support of its reply, the same does not demonstrate the operation of the lathe machine; instead, only a still photograph of the machine has been furnished. Further, no documentary evidence or supporting material has been produced to establish that the machinery has been duly engraved or permanently marked in accordance with the applicable requirements. Therefore, the deficiencies are not rectified by the petitioner.
3.	12. <i>Required CITS qualified Instructor not available as per DGT norms.</i> 13. <i>No boundary wall was available surrounding the Ram Sharan Roy PVT ITI.</i> 14. Observed that the Instructor names on classroom time tables (Ram Sharan Roy College of Technology) matched with some instructor from list provided by the Pvt. ITI at the time of inspection at Pvt ITI.	Remarks mentioned against each point: Photo/bill attached. An undertaking is submitted that the staff of Ram Sharan ITI college was included inadvertently.	In the show cause notice, the petitioner was specifically directed to furnish a point-wise explanation to each of the deficiencies along with the relevant supporting documents. However, the petitioner has merely submitted a list of staff members, copies of certain bills, and a few photographs without correlating or annexing the same with the respective deficiencies. In the absence of any point-wise explanation or proper identification of the documents and photographs with the corresponding

15. <i>Shown Unpaid electricity Bill for month of March 2026, 30 KW, 3, Phase. Not provided latest electricity bill. Shortage of Power capacity for applied trades units.</i> 16. <i>Some of Original bill of T&E /Machineries were not provided/shown at the time of inspection (Only shown Photo copy/Color print). Mode of payment for purchase of T&E/Machineries not as per DGT Norms. Product details and Qty. not available in provided/shown e-Way bill No.781280136279 dt.26.08.22.</i> 17. <i>No separate Male Female toilet available.</i> 18. <i>Vehicle Number DL1LW1554 Mini fire tender registered with Owner Name not associated with Pvt. ITI as per m Parivahan App and Registration Certificate not Shown/provided at the time of Inspection.</i> 19. <i>Dead Stock registers are not maintained properly. Provided biometric machine bill not matched with installed machine in Pvt ITI at the time of inspection.</i> 20. <i>Observed All Bench vices were not fixed with nuts and bolts and are loosely placed on the tables at the time of inspection and shortage of work benches in electrician Workshops.</i> 21. <i>Bill of Computer Systems with licensed software for IT Lab were not shown/provided at the time of inspection by Pvt ITI.</i> 22. <i>Welding tables, fire extinguisher and fire bucket in fitter lab were not available at the time of inspection.</i> 23. <i>In bill no.198 dated 13.02.2022, Sr. 49 to 51 Qty. 06 each for Item Computer system, computer table and Computer Chairs respectively the invoice amount not available/mentioned in provided/shown bill by pvt ITI principal at the time of inspection.</i> 24. <i>No any Computer system were available in Electrician Workshop at time of inspection.</i> 25. <i>Metal Rack, Locker with drawer, Instructor Table and Chair, Almirah and Writing Board were not available in Fitter and Electrician workshops at the time of inspection.”</i>	Another undertaking regarding transfer of Mini Fire tender from individual to ITI name is submitted. Petitioner has undertaken to conduct a fresh reverification.	deficiencies, the petitioner's claims cannot be considered satisfactorily. The petitioner has also failed to rectify the deficiency relating to the availability of the Mini Fire Tender. No application or supporting documents are annexed that the fire tender is being transferred in the petitioner institute name. Therefore, conducting on the practical training on a private vehicle is neither feasible for nor safe for the trainees. The petitioner's request for re-verification is not tenable and is accordingly rejected. The petitioner was required to have the requisite infrastructure, machinery, equipment, and other prescribed facilities fully established and compliant at the time of inspection. Further, as noted at Sl. No. 1 above, the petitioner has furnished inconsistent particulars regarding the institute building, infrastructure, and layout plan. In such circumstances, it would neither be appropriate nor administratively feasible to repeatedly constitute inspection teams for verification of machinery and equipment in respect of the same institute, particularly when the DGT is required to conduct numerous pending inspections relating to affiliation and de-affiliation of other institutes. However, it would be rather appropriate to direct the petitioner submit a fresh application in accordance with the new norms from year 2025 onwards.
--	---	---